IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc.No.56992-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: NOVEMBER 7, 2006

Sukhwinder Singh and others

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr.Mansur Ali, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr.N.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.B.S.Bhalla, Advocate,
for the complainant.

...

The petitioners apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No.157 dated 17.8.2006 registered under Sections 306/34/304-B IPC at Police Station Sahnewal, District Ludhiana, have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail.

I have heard the counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Keeping in view the nature of allegations and the supplementary statement as well as the suicide note, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to petitioner No.1-father in law, petitioner No.2-mother-in-law and petitioner No.4-brother in law of the deceased.

Hence, this petition is dismissed qua petitioner No.1-Sukhwinder Singh, petitioner No.2-Surjit Kaur and petitioner No.4-Baljinderjeet Singh.

As far as petitioners No.3 and 5 are concerned, who are unmarried sister-in-law and wife of brother-in-law of the deceased, respectively, counsel for the petitioners states that in terms of the interim order dated September 29, 2006, the said petitioners have joined the investigation. The factum of joining the investigation by the said petitioners

has not been disputed by the State counsel.

In view of the above, the interim order dated September 29, 2006 is made absolute qua petitioners No.3 and 5, namely, Jaswinder Kaur and Kamaljit Kaur alias Rani.

This bail order shall remain in operation till the investigation culminates into filing of challan under Section 173 Cr.P.C. except for material change in the circumstances. Thereafter, petitioners No.3 and 5 shall be entitled to the grant of regular bail by the trial Court and the same shallfurther continue till conclusion of the trial on the conditions to be imposed by the court of competent jurisdiction.

Disposed of accordingly.

November 7, 2006 (SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
vkg JUDGE